

**Sanctuary award**

**Report from the appraisal committee**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name and type of organisation:** |  |
| **Town / City:** |  |
| **Name / position of lead applicant:** |  |
| **Date of submission:** |  |
| **Date of appraisal team response:** |  |
| **Names of appraisal team:** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **The Organisation Context** |
| Purpose of the organisation, size, numbers of staff, numbers of users/ numbers of people seeking sanctuary etc. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Summary of Activity** |
| The Appraisal team should discuss the organisation’s presented application and evidence prior to appraisal visit. This report should be read in conjunction with any other documents and/notes.  We recognise and commend current activity, in particular the following examples of good practice: |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Feedback on key principles** | |
| Below are our reflections on the evidence relating to the three principles of the Sanctuary Award | |
| **Learn**  *Learn about what it means to be seeking sanctuary* |  |
| **Embed**  *Embed this knowledge in activities and infrastructure of the organisation* |  |
| **Share**  *Share the knowledge and understanding with the organisation’s wider community* |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reflections on future plans** |
| The following are the areas of commendation and recommendation for the ways in which the applicant states they intend to develop their commitment to being a place of sanctuary over the next three years: |
|  |

**ASSESSMENT**

**Has the minimum criteria specified in the stream of sanctuary guidelines been met?**

**Have the following criteria been met across the whole portfolio of evidence?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Assessment**  **Have the following criteria been met across the whole portfolio of evidence?** | |
| **Criteria** | **Criteria met?** |
| **Minimum Criteria**  Have the minimum criteria specified in the Stream of Sanctuary guidelines been met (where relevant) |  |
| **Values and network principles**  *Endorsing the City of Sanctuary's charter and pledging support to the local organisation vision of welcome as relevant. Is the commitment to the vision of welcome visible for the* ***public*** *to see?* |  |
| **Staff involvement / awareness**  *Was everybody made aware of what Sanctuary means*? *Is there evidence of a whole organisational approach to learning about what it means to be seeking sanctuary* |  |
| **Engagement of people seeking sanctuary**  *Is there evidence of* meaningful engagement *with people seeking sanctuary?* |  |
| **Sustainable commitment**  *Has the organisation demonstrated a sustainable commitment to sanctuary? What evidence is there that this commitment will continue after the award is granted? Is there evidence of ensuring that examples of welcome can be sustained* *through embedding in policy and procedures or the organisational development plans.* |  |
| **Self-evaluation**  *Do the staff/volunteers feel that the organisation has completed sufficient work for the award?* |  |
| **Feedback from people seeking sanctuary**  *Has feedback from people seeking sanctuary been taken into account?* |  |
| **Sharing achievements and learning**  *Is there evidence of planning to share achievements either through their networks or through City of Sanctuary?* |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Conclusions** | | |
| The review panel were unanimous in concluding that the organisation has fully met the conditions to be granted a Sanctuary Award. | **Yes** | **No** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Further recommendations** |
| Additional recommendations from the appraisal group (including areas of improvement necessary for applicants who have not yet met all condition necessary to be granted a Sanctuary Award) |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date awarded** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Signatures** | |
| **Signed by the lead applicant** |  |
| **Signed by the lead member of the appraisal group** |  |